

U.S.S.N. 10/628,610

REMARKS – General**Objection to Drawings:**

The most recent Office Action (OA) objects to the drawings under 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5). Specifically, the OA submits that components are present in FIG. 2, but not in the detailed description. These components include a plug, power supply, safety IC and Mem.

Applicants respectfully traversed this rejection in a telephone call with the Examiner. Applicants noted that rule 1.84(p)(5) states, "Reference characters not mentioned in the description shall not appear in the drawings. Reference characters mentioned in the description must appear in the drawings." Applicants respectfully submit that the components mentioned above did not include reference characters because they did not appear in the description. Applicants thus submitted that they were within compliance with 1.84(p)(5).

Applicants further submitted that Applicants knew of no requirement that every component in the drawing be described in the description. The only requirement for the content of drawings is 37 CFR 1.83, which requires that any element recited in the claims appear in the drawings, which is the case in the present application. As such, Applicants maintain the traversal to this rejection.

Nonetheless, the Examiner indicated that the case, with all claims allowed, could not move to allowance unless the additional elements of FIG. 2 were included in the specification. Thus, to further prosecution, Applicants have added reference characters 300-303 to FIG. 2, and have amended the specification to recite "Components 300-303 are present in FIG. 2." Applicants respectfully submit that no new matter has been added to the specification by way of the addition of either the reference characters or the aforementioned sentence. An amended FIG. 2 is enclosed. Support for the amendment is found in FIG. 2 as originally filed.

Additionally, the OA rejected the drawings under 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because the reference character "206" was not listed in the specification. This was due to an inadvertent typographical error, and has been corrected herein by amendment.

U.S.S.N. 10/628,610

CONCLUSION

For the above reasons, Applicants believe the specification and claims are now in proper form, and that the claims all define patentably over the prior art. Applicants believe this application is now in condition for allowance, for which they respectfully submit.

No amendment made was related to the statutory requirements of patentability unless expressly stated herein. No amendment made was for the purpose of narrowing the scope of any claim, unless Applicants have argued herein that such amendment was made to distinguish over a particular reference or combination of references.

Respectfully submitted,



Philip H. Burrus, IV

Attorney for Applicants

Registration No.: 45,432

770-338-3614 (fax 3557)

attachment